

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/772,451	02/06/2004	Shinji Hada	Q79747	6719	
23373 7.	590 12/13/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			WILSON, DEMARIS R		
2100 PENNSY SUITE 800	LVANIA AVENUE, N.W.	ANIA AVENUE, N.W.		PAPER NUMBER	
	N, DC 20037		1731		
			DATE MAIL ED. 12/12/200	DATE MAILED, 12/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/772,451	HADA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		DeMaris R. Wilson	1731			
	of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c				
Period for Reply	·					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER,  - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mail  - If NO period for reply is specified ab  - Failure to reply within the set or exte	FROM THE MAILING DA under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ing date of this communication. ove, the maximum statutory period v nded period for reply will, by statute, or than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH( ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE to date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to comm	unication(s) filed on <u>06 Fe</u>	ebruary 2004.				
2a) This action is FINAL.	·					
-						
closed in accordance	with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			+			
4)	n(s) <u>1,2,4 and 6-8</u> is/are v allowed. e rejected. objected to.	vithdrawn from consideration. r election requirement.				
Application Papers						
Applicant may not require Replacement drawing s	n <u>06 February 2004</u> is/are est that any objection to the heet(s) including the correct	er. e: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objecte drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob caminer. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	*					
12) Acknowledgment is m a) All b) Some * c  1. Certified copies 2. Certified copies 3. Copies of the c application from	ade of a claim for foreign  C) None of: S of the priority document of the priority document ertified copies of the prior of the International Bureau	s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTC2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent 3) Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/772,451

Art Unit: 1731

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1,2, & 4, drawn to an apparatus for press molding a molding material, classified in class 425, subclass 406.
- II. Claims 3 & 5, drawn to a method of press molding a glass optical element, classified in class 65, subclass 102.
- III. Claims 6-8, drawn to a method of press molding a molding material, classified in class 264, subclass 663.

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, for example press molding a resin or polymeric substance.

Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and

Application/Control Number: 10/772,451

Art Unit: 1731

materially different process, for example monitoring rotational displacement due to moment.

Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product, for example a resin element or metal substrate.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Alan Kasper on November 18, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, and did result in an election being made.

During the telephone conversation with Mr. Alan Kasper on November 18, 2006 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of a method of press molding a glass optical element, claims 3 and 5. Affirmation of this election

Application/Control Number: 10/772,451

Art Unit: 1731

must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1,2,4,6-8 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Komiyama et al (US 5346522). Komiyama et al discloses an apparatus that contains the following:

- A mold comprising first and second die (ref col. 2 line 46)
- Driving/moving means for said die(s) (ref col. 2 line 51)
- Heating means for heating dies (ref col. 2 line 48)
- Detection means for control (340/350) (ref col. 8 line 47)
- A controller of moving distance of die(s) (ref col. 8 line 54)

Komiyama et al. discloses a method for using aforesaid apparatus to press mold optical glass elements (ref col. 2 line 62 - col.3 line 7). The reference discloses such a method by where a mold is supplied with a heated preform to later press mold into desired glass optical element. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made chriously would want to use Komiyama et al.'s patented disclosure to accomplish

Application/Control Number: 10/772,451 Page 5

Art Unit: 1731

applicant's method of press-molding a glass optical element via Komiyama's molding apparatus.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DeMaris R. Wilson whose telephone number is 571.272.6377. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5 Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571.272.1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DeMaris R. Wilson Examiner Art Unit 1731

DRW 2006

DIONNE A. WALLS MAYES

PRIMARY EXAMINEH